

The plaintiff also alleges he was in a car accident in the past. As a result, he suffered a fractured skull and has experienced temporary loss of memory and migraine headaches. He alleges that with treatment and medicine he has not had a migraine in three years. He further asserts that he is starting to remember a lot of things. He alleges that he is "Deputy Sheriff of the Highies," a deputy commissioner for the courtroom, a deputy U.S. Marshal, and a Special Agent with the FBI.

The plaintiff alleges that, in connection with a previous lawsuit, this court had him immediately released from SCI-Waymart, brought him to the federal courthouse in Scranton, and explained some very important things to him. He alleges that he told the court about his skull injury, his headaches and his memory problems and that the court made a record of the plaintiff's information.

In his request for relief, the plaintiff wants the court to make a computer check of his information about being a deputy sheriff. The plaintiff is also seeking immediate release from SCI-Somerset, compensation for false imprisonment and nominal damages for mental anguish.

On October 31, 2005, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation in which he recommended that the complaint be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915A(b)(1). Specifically, the Magistrate Judge found the plaintiff's complaint to be frivolous because it relies on a fantastic or delusional factual scenario. Moreover, the Magistrate Judge found that to the extent plaintiff was seeking relief from custody, he should pursue the claim in habeas corpus.

On November 11, 2005, plaintiff filed a document entitled "Pretrial Motions." On November 21, 2005, plaintiff filed Objections to the Report and Recommendation.¹ In his Pretrial Motions and Objections, plaintiff again reiterates the allegations set forth in the complaint regarding his various positions in law enforcement. He attaches as Exhibits

¹ Plaintiff filed an identical document on November 25, 2005.

correspondence to several government entities seeking confirmation of his alleged positions in law enforcement.

We find no merit to the arguments set forth by plaintiff in his “Pretrial Motions” and objections. Thus, plaintiff’s Pretrial Motions and objections will be dismissed. Moreover, we agree with the Magistrate Judge that the plaintiff’s claim is frivolous. Accordingly, we will adopt the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge and will dismiss the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915A(b)(1).

